

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/088,780	07/22/2002	Christopher John Secombes	ABLE-0021	9521	
²⁶²⁵⁹ LICATA & TY	7590 01/24/200 RRELL P.C.	1	EXAMINER		
66 E. MAIN ST	TREET		KELLY, ROBERT M		
MARLTON, NJ 08053		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/088,780	SECOMBES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert M. Kelly	1633	

		Robert M. Kelly	1633				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
HE RE	PLY FILED 05 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
th pl a tin	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or or is application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in concerning the reply must be reply and rep	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) 🔀 b) 🗀	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
ave been de some set forth nay redunder	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the control of the cont	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
fili a	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. ⊠ T (a (b	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ ow);	TE below);				
	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
'. X F ho Th CI CI CI	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is probe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . aim(s) objected to: <u>55,58,60-63,65,66,68 and 70-75</u> . aim(s) rejected: <u>55,58,60-63,65,66,68 and 70-75</u> . aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
er	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
5	he request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:			
	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:						
	·	To	World AU1633				
		<i>(</i>	AU633				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed claims introduce new considerations and considerations for new matter by comprising a nucleotide sequence which is improperly claimed (no seq id no) and therefore cannot be searched. Also, it must be considered if such is new matter. Further Applicant's newly proposed claims are much less detailed than the previous claims and they must be considered for their newly claimed scope and for comprising new matter.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): All previous claim rejections would necessarily be withdrawn, as the proposed amendment cancels all previously pending claims. However, the new claims must now be reconsidered based on the newly presented information.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments address the previous rejections by stating that the claims are proposed to be cancelled, however, no argument is provided to demonstrate how the new claims overcome the rejections and require further considerations and consideration for comprising new matter, and as such, the Applicant is certainly not in condition for allowance.